

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/642,316	FORREST ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Akm Enayet Ullah	2874	

All Participants:

(1) Akm Enayet Ullah.

(2) Mr. John E. McGlynn (Reg.no. 42,863).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 June 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☒ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☒ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NONE

Claims discussed:

41-52

Prior art documents discussed:

USPatent 6,381,380 and USPub. 2002/0031297

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: USPatent 6,381,380 and US2002/0031297 discussed, since it is the same same inventor. Applicant expalined the difference between the claimed subject matter and the USPatent and the USPub. The new set of claims 41- 52 aree allowable over the prior art as of record..


AKM ENAYET ULLAH
PRIMARY EXAMINER